## **Scoring methodology and indicator weighting in 2014**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Sub-group** | **Indicator** | **Scoring Approach** | **Weight** |
| Commitment to aid transparency | Commitment | 1. Quality of FOI legislation
 | Graduated based on the score given in Right To Information (RTI) Rating.[[1]](#footnote-1) | 3.33% |
| 1. Implementation schedules
 | Graduated based on the total score received out of 100 based on analysis of Busan common standard/IATI implementation schedules. | 3.33% |
| 1. Accessibility
 | Graduated based on three criteria: allows free bulk export of data; provides disaggregated, detailed data on activities; and data is released under an open licence.  | 3.33% |
| Publication – Organisation level | Planning | 1. Strategy
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.50% |
| 1. Annual report
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.50% |
| 1. Allocation policy
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.50% |
| 1. Procurement policy
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.50% |
| 1. Strategy (country / sector)
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.50% |
| Financial | 1. Total organisation budget
 | Graduated based on format and number of years for which data is provided | 4.17% |
| 1. Disaggregated budget
 | Graduated based on format and number of years for which data is provided | 4.17% |
| 1. Audit
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 4.17% |
| Publication – Activity level  | Basic activity information | 1. Implementer
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Unique ID
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Title
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Description
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Planned dates
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Actual dates
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Current status
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| 1. Contact details
 | Graduated based on format | 1.63% |
| Classifications | 1. Collaboration type
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Flow type
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Aid type
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Finance type
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Sectors
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Sub-national location
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| 1. Tied aid status
 | Graduated based on format | 1.86% |
| Related documents | 1. Memorandum of Understanding
 | Graduated based on accessibility  | 2.17% |
| 1. Evaluations
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.17% |
| 1. Objectives
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.17% |
| 1. Budget docs
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.17% |
| 1. Contracts
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.17% |
| 1. Tenders
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 2.17% |
| Financial | 1. Budget\*
 | Graduated based on format | 3.25% |
| 1. Commitments
 | Graduated based on format | 3.25% |
| 1. Disbursements & expenditures
 | Graduated based on format | 3.25% |
| 1. Budget ID
 | Graduated based on format | 3.25% |
| Performance | 1. Results
 | Graduated based on format | 4.33% |
| 1. Impact appraisals
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 4.33% |
| 1. Conditions
 | Graduated based on accessibility | 4.33% |

\*This indicator is more rigorously measured in 2014 for IATI publishers (information published to IATI is scored higher than information published in other formats). The information must be both forward-looking and broken down by quarter for the first year ahead to score the maximum available points on the indicator. For more on why this change has been made, see the [Index FAQs](http://www.publishwhatyoufund.org/index/2014-ati/).

Note: The source of information for indicators 4–39 is the IATI Registry, organisations’ own websites or other sources to which the organisation publishes information on its current aid activities.

1. The complete approach to assessing and scoring FOIA and disclosure policy quality is detailed in the [technical pape](http://www.publishwhatyoufund.org/index/2014-ati/)r (see box 2 on p.19). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)